arXiv:1602.04343v1 [math.CA] 13 Feb 2016

AUTOMORPHISMS OF ALGEBRAS AND BOCHNER'S PROPERTY FOR DISCRETE VECTOR ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

EMIL HOROZOV

Abstract. We construct new families of discrete vector orthogonal polynomials that have the property to be eigenfunctions of some difference operator. They are extensions of Charlier, Meixner and Kravchuk polynomial systems. The ideas behind our approach lie in the studies of bispectral operators. We exploit automorphisms of associative algebras which transform elementary (vector) orthogonal polynomial systems which are eigenfunctions of a difference operator into other systems of this type. While the extension of Charlier polynomilas is well known it is obtained by different methods. The extension of Meixner polynomial system is new.

1. Introduction

S. Bochner [8] has classified all systems of orthogonal (with respect to some measure on the real line) polynomials $P_n(x),\ \ n=0,\dots,$ that are also eigenfunctions of a second order differential operator

$$L(x,\partial_x)=A(x)\partial_x^2+B(x)\partial_x+C(x) \tag{1.1}$$ {BP1}

with eigenvalues λ_n. Here the coefficients A,B,C of the differential equation do not depend on the index n.

A similar problem was solved by O. Lancaster [19] and P. Lesky [21], although earlier E. Hildebrandt [15] has found all needed components of the proof. For more information see the excellent review article by W. Al-Salam [1].

The orthogonality condition, due to a classical theorem by Favard-Shohat is equivalent to the well known 3-terms recursion relation

$$xP_n=P_{n+1}+\beta(n)P_n+\gamma(n)P_{n-1}, \tag{1.2}$$ {BP2}

where $\beta(n),\gamma(n)$ are constants, depending on n. Here we use the polynomials normalized by the condition that their highest order coefficient is 1. The statement of Lancaster's theorem is that all polynomial systems with such properties are the discrete orthogonal polynomials of Hahn, Meixner, Charlier and Kravchuk.

Here when speaking about orthogonality we mean orthogonality with respect to a nondegenerate functional, which does not need to be positive definite.

In recent times there is much activity in generalizations and versions of this classical result of Bochner.

The first one was the generalization by H. L. Krall [18]. He classified all order 4 differential operators which have a family of orthogonal polynomials as eigenfunctions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L20 (Primary); 30C15, 33E05 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Vector orthogonal polynomials, finite recurrence relations, bispectral problem.

Later many authors found new families of orthogonal polynomials that are eigenfunctions of a differential operator.

The classical discrete orthogonal polynomials have also been studied with respect to extending the theorem of Hildebrandt-Lancaster-Lesky. In particular A. Durán and M. de la Iglesia [10] have obtained extensions of the classical polynomial systems of Hahn, Meixner and Charlier.

An important role in some of these generalizations plays the ideology of the bispectral problem which was initiated in [9]. Even translating the Bochner and Krall results into this language already gives a good basis to continue investigations. We formulate it for the case of discrete orthogonal polymials. Let us introduce the function $\psi(x,n) = P_n(x)$. By D we denote the shift operator in x: $Df(x) = f(x+1)$. If we write the right-hand side of the 3-term recursion relation as a difference operator $\Lambda(n)$ acting on the discrete variable n then the 3-term recursion relation can be written as

$$\Lambda(n)\psi(x,n) = x\psi(x,n).$$

This means that is an eigenfunction of the discrete operator Λ with eigenvalue x and of a difference operator $L(x,\partial_x)$ with eigenvalue λ_n. In the discrete case instead of the differential operator we have to take a difference operator $L(x,D)$, where Hence we can formulate the discrete version of Bochner-Krall problem as

Find all systems of orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x)$ (with respect to some functional u) which are eigenvalues of a difference operator.

We also use some ideas found in the studies of bispectral operators. Before explaining the main results let us introduce one more concept which is central for the present paper. This is the notion of vector orthogonal polynomials (VOP), introduced by J. van Iseghem [25]. Let $\{P_n(x)\}$ be a family of monic polynomials such that $\deg P_n = n$. Assume that they satisfy a $d+2$-term recursion relation, $d \geq 1$

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \gamma_j(n) P_{n-j}(x) \tag{1.3}$$

with constants (independent of x) $\gamma_j(n)$, $\gamma_j(d) \neq 0$. Then by a theorem of P. Maroni [22] there exist d functionals $u_j, j = 0, \ldots, d-1$ on the space of all polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_k(P_m P_n) = 0, m > nd+k, n \geq 0, \\ u_k(P_n P_{n(d+1)+k}) \neq 0, n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

for each $k \in N_{d+1} := \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$. When $d = 1$ this is the notion of orthogonal polynomials.

In the last 20-30 years there is much activity in the study of vector orthogonal polynomials and the broader class of multiple orthogonal polynomials.

Applications of the d-orthogonal polynomials include the simultaneous Padé approximation problem where the multiple orthogonal polynomials appear [2]. Also multiple orthogonal polynomials play important role in random matrix theory [2, 7]. The d-orthogonal polynomials can be obtained from general multiple orthogonal polynomials under some restrictions upon their parameters.

One problem that deserves attention is to find analogs of classical orthogonal polynomials. Several authors [3, 14] have found multiple orthogonal polynomials, that share a

number of properties with the classical orthogonal polynomials - they have a raising operators, Rodrigues type formulas, Pearson equations for the weights, etc. However one of the features of the classical orthogonal polynomials - a differential operator for which the polynomials are eigenfunction is missing. Sometimes this property is relaxed to the property that the polynomials satisfy linear differential equation, whose coefficients may depend on the index of the polynomial.

We are looking for polynomials $P_n(x),\ n = 0, 1, \dots$ that are eigenfunctions of a difference operator $L(x, D)$ with eigenvalues depending on the variable n (the index) and which at the same time are eigenfunctions of a difference operator in n, i.e. finite-term recursion relation with an eigenfunction, depending only on the variable x.

Our main result includes an extension of Meixner polynomials. We construct systems of vector orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ which are eigenfunctions of a difference operator. It is different from the family found in [11] and [7] except for the first member. Our approach uses ideas of the bispectral theory from [4] but does not use Darboux transformations, which is usually the case, see e.g. [12, 13, 10]. We use methods introduced in [4] which we describe below. Also a well known extension of Charlier polynomials (see [5, 26]) is presented. The reason to repeat it is that our construction is a new one in comparison to the techniques of [5, 26]. However there are some similarities with [26]. The authors also use automorphisms of algebras and make a beautiful connection with representation theory. Our construction is simpler and quite straightforward. The same method was recently applied to extensions of Hermite and Laguerre polynomials as well as to a family that has no classical analog [16]. The present paper could be considered a continuation of [16] but could be read independently.

The method from the present paper and [16] can be applied to various versions of vector orthogonal polynomials - matrix, multivariate, etc. This will be done elsewhere.

Acknowledgements. The author is deeply grateful to Boris Shapiro for showing and discussing some examples of systems of polynomials studied here and in particular the examples from [24]. They helped me to guess that the methods from [4] can be useful for the study of vector orthogonal polynomials. The author is grateful to the Mathematics Department of Stockholm University for the hospitality in April 2015.

Last but not least I am extremely grateful to Prof. T. Tanev, Prof. K. Kostadinov, and Mrs. Z Karova from the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science and Prof. P. Dolashka, BAS who helped me in the difficult situation when I was sacked by Sofia university in violations of the Bulgarian laws. This was a retaliation for my attempt to reveal a large -scale corruption, that involves highest university and science officials in Bulgaria.[1]

2. Elements of bispectral theory

The following introductory material is mainly borrowed from [4]. Below we present the difference-difference version of the general bispectral problem which is suitable in the set-up of discrete orthogonal polynomial sequences.

For $i = 1, 2$, let Ω_i be two open subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ such that Ω_1 is invariant under the translation operator

$$D\colon x \mapsto x + 1,\ x \in \Omega_1$$

and its inverse D^{-1}, while Ω_2 is invariant under the translation operator

$$T\colon n \mapsto n + 1$$

[1]See, e.g. EMS NEWSLETTER, http://www.ems-ph.org/journals/newsletter/pdf/2015-12-98.pdf

and its inverse T^{-1}.

A complex analytic difference operator on Ω_1 is a finite sum of the form

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_k(x)D^k,$$

where $c_k\colon \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ are analytic functions. In the same way we define complex analytic difference operators on Ω_2 to be finite sums of the form

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} s_k(n)T^k,$$

where $s_k\colon \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ are analytic functions.

By $\mathcal{B}_1$ we denote an algebra with unit, consisting of difference operators $L(x,D)$ in one variable x. By $\mathcal{B}_2$ we denote an algebra of difference operators $\Lambda(n,T)$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the space of complex analytic functions on $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$. The space $\mathcal{M}$ is naturally equipped with the structure of bimodule over the algebra of analytic difference operators $L(x,D)$ on Ω_1 and the difference operators $\Lambda(n,T)$ on Ω_2.

Assume that there exists an algebra isomorphism $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ and an element $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$P\psi = b(P)\psi, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{B}_1.$$

A discrete-discrete bispectral function is by definition an element $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e., an analytic function)

$$\psi\colon \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{C}$$

for which there exist analytic difference operator $L(x,D)$ and $\Lambda(n,T)$ on Ω_1 and Ω_2, and analytic functions

$$\theta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(n),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} L(x,D)\psi(x,n) &= f(n)\psi(x,n) \\ \Lambda(n,T_n)\psi(x,n) &= \theta(x)\psi(x,n) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

on $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$. Let us introduce the subalgebras K_i $i = 1,2$ of $\mathcal{B}_i$ to be the algebras of functions in x (respectively in n). In fact, as we would be interested in VOP, we will consider only the case when $\theta(x) \equiv x$. We will assume that $\psi(x,n)$ is a nonsplit function of x and n in the sense that it satisfies the condition

$(**)$ there are no nonzero analytic difference operators $L(x,\partial_x)$ and $\Lambda(n,T)$ that satisfy one of the above conditions with $f(n) \equiv 0$ or $\theta(x) \equiv 0$.

The assumption $(**)$ implies that the map $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$, given by $b(P(x,\partial_x)) := S(n,T)$ is a well defined algebra anti-isomorphism. The algebra

$$A_1 := b^{-1}(K_2)$$

consists of the bispectral operators corresponding to $\psi(x,z)$ (i.e., differential operators in x having the properties (2.4)) and the algebra

$$A_2 := b(K_1)$$

consists of the bispectral operators corresponding to $\psi(x,n)$, i.e. difference operators in n having the properties (2.4)).

Below we present the discrete-discrete version of the general bispectral problem which is suitable in the set-up of vector orthogonal polynomial sequences, which are eigenfunctions of difference operators.

We are interested in the case when, for any fixed n, the function $\psi(x,n)$ defining the map b is a polynomial in x. We additionally assume that, for all n, polynomials $\psi(x,n)$ are the eigenfunctions of a fixed differential operator in the variable x and that, for any fixed x the function $\psi(x,n)$ is an eigenfunction of a difference operator in n. We know that such a situation occurs in case of the classical discrete orthogonal polynomials.

Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the algebra spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by x, D and D^{-1}. Needless to say that the commutation relations in $\mathcal{B}_i$ play a crucial role. In the case of$\mathcal{B}_1$ they are

$$[D,x]=D,\ \ [D^{-1},x]=-D^{-1},\ \ [D,D^{-1}]=0.$$

In the same way we define another algebra $\mathcal{B}_2$, using the operators T, its inverse T^{-1} and the operator n of multiplication by the variable n. In our case such a module is a linear space of bivariate functions $f(x,n)$, where x is a continuous and n is a discrete variables. For later use we also introduce $\Delta=D-1$ and $\nabla=D^{-1}-1$. Let us put $L=x\nabla$. The above commutation relations yield

$$[D,L]=-\Delta=1-D,\ \ [L,x]=L-x.$$

In what follows we use the notation

$$(x)_k=x(x-1)\dots(x-k+1)\ \text{ for }\ k\in\mathbb{N},\ \text{ and }\ (x)_0=1.$$

We notice that the notation $(x)_k$ is quite often used with a different meaning but here we will use it only in the above sense. Let $\psi(x,n):=S_n(x):=(x)_n$. Set $L:=x\Delta$ and $\Lambda=T+n$.

Obviously

$$LS(x,n)=nS(x,n),\ \Lambda S(x,n)=xS(x,n).$$

In this way we can define the anti-automorphism b by

$$\begin{cases} b(x)=T+n\\ b(L)=n\\ b(\Delta)=nT^{-1}. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$ {iso}

In this subsection we, following [4] recall how to construct new bispectral operators from already known ones. The method is quite general and does not depend on the specific form of the operators. First, we remind the reader that for an operator $L\in\mathcal{B}$ it is said that ad_L acts locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{B}$ when for any element $a\in\mathcal{B}$ there exists $k\in\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mathrm{ad}_L^k(a)=0.$$

The main tool for constructing new bispectral operators in this paper will be the following simple observation made in [4]. We formulate it in a form suitable for the discrete VOP.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}_1,B_2$ be unital algebras with the properties described above. Let $L\in\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathrm{ad}_L:\mathcal{B}_1\to\mathcal{B}_1$ be a locally nilpotent operator and let $b\colon\mathcal{B}_1\to\mathcal{B}_2$ be a bispectral involution. Suppose that, for any fixed n, $e^L\psi(x,n)$ is a polynomial in x of degree n. Define a new map $b^{'}:\mathcal{B}_1\to\mathcal{B}_2$ via the new polynomial function $\psi'(x,n):=e^{\mathrm{ad}L}\psi(x,n)$. Then $b^{'}:\mathcal{B}_1\to\mathcal{B}_2$ is a bispectral anti-involution.*

3. Charlier type vector orthogonal polynomials

Here the algebras $\mathcal{B}_i$ are the ones defined in the previous section, i.e. Let $P(X)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ without a free term. We define the automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ by

$$\sigma = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{P(\Delta)}}.$$

Let us compute explicitly its action on the generators x and $\sigma(D)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The automorphism σ acts on the generators as*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(x) = x + P'(\Delta)D \\ \sigma(D) = D. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Starting with the relation $[D, x] = D$ we prove by induction that for each m

$$[D^m, x] = mD^m.$$

Again by induction we find that

$$[\Delta^m, x] = m\Delta^{m-1}D. \tag{3.6}$$

Really for $m = 1$ it is obvious:

$$[\Delta, x] = D.$$

If (3.6) is verified for $m = j - 1$ we have for $j = m$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Delta^m, x\right] &= \Delta^m x - x\Delta^m = \Delta\Delta^{m-1}x - x\Delta^m \\ &= \Delta\{x\Delta^{m-1} + (m-1)\Delta^{m-2}D\} - x\Delta^m \\ &= \left[\Delta, x\right]\Delta^{m-1} + (m-1)\Delta^{m-2}D) = m\Delta^{m-1}D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}_{P(\Delta)}}(x) = x + [P(\Delta), x] + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ad}^j_{P(\Delta)}(x) = x + P'(\Delta)D,$$

as the rest of the terms vanish. The other formula is obvious. □

A direct consequences of the lemma is

Corollary 3.2. *The images of L and Δ under the automorphism σ are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Delta) &= \Delta \\ \sigma(L) &= x\nabla + [P(\Delta), x\nabla] = x\nabla - P'(\Delta)\Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. The first identity is obvious. Here is the simple proof of the second one. We have

$$[P(\Delta), x\nabla] = (P(\Delta)x - xP(\Delta))\nabla = P'(\Delta)D\nabla$$

as Δ and ∇ commute. Then using that $D\nabla = -\Delta$ we obtain

$$[P(\Delta), x\nabla] = -P'(\Delta)\Delta.$$

Hence

$$\sigma(L) = L + [P(\Delta), x\nabla] = x\nabla - P'(\Delta)\Delta.$$

□

Let us define the anti-involution $b_1 = b(\sigma^{-1})$. Also we define the difference operator

$$\tilde{L} = \sigma^{-1}(L) = x\nabla + P'(\Delta)\Delta. \tag{3.8}$$

Here and further we use that

$$\sigma^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathrm{ad}_{P(\Delta)})^j}{j!}$$

From Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 3.2 it follows almost immediately that

Lemma 3.3. *The anti-involution b_1 acts as*

$$\begin{cases} b_1(x) = T + n + P'(nT^{-1})(nT^{-1} + 1) \\ b_1(\tilde{L}) = n \\ b_1(\Delta) = nT^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$b_1(x) = b(\sigma^{-1}(x)) = b(x + P'(\Delta)D) = T + n + P'(nT^{-1})(nT^{-1} + 1).$$

Next

$$b_1(\tilde{L}) = b(\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma(L)) = b(L) = n$$

Finally

$$b_1(\Delta) = b(\Delta) = nT^{-1}.$$

□

Let us define the "wave function"

$$C_n^P(x) = e^{P(\Delta))}\psi(x,n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(\Delta)^j(x)_n}{j!}. \tag{3.9}$$ {wf-1}

Notice that the operator Δ reduces the degrees of the polynomials by one unit. The same is true for $P(\Delta)$ (we recall that $P(X)$ has no free term). This shows that the sum (3.9) is finite and for this reason $C_n^P(x)$ is a polynomial.

Let us write explicitly $P(\Delta)$ as

$$P(\Delta) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_j \Delta^j.$$

We will list the basic properties of the polynomials $C_n^P(x)$ in terms of the polynomial P in the next theorem

Theorem 3.4. *The polynomials $C_n^P(x)$ have the following properties:*

(i) They satisfy $d+2$-term recursion relation

$$xC_n^P(x) = C_{n+1}^P(x) + nC_n^P(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} (n)_j (j\beta_j + (j+1)\beta_{j+1}) C_{n-j}^P.$$

(ii) They are eigenfunctions of the difference operator $\tilde{L}$ (3.8)

$$\tilde{L}C_n^P(x) = nC_n^P(x).$$

(iii) The following lowering operator holds

$$\Delta C_n^P(x) = nC_{n-1}^P(x)$$

Proof. (i) From Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$xC_n^P(x) = \Big\{T + n + P'(nT^{-1})(nT^{-1}+1)\Big\}C_n^P$$

Let us work out the expression $E = P'(nT^{-1})(nT^{-1}+1)C_n^P$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} j\beta_j (nT^{-1})^j C_n^P + \sum_{j=0}^{d} +(j+1)\beta_{j+1}(nT^{-1})^j C_n^P \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (j\beta_j + (j+1)\beta_{j+1})(nT^{-1})^j C_n^P. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_j (nT^{-1})^j C_n^P + \sum_{j=0}^{d} (n)\beta_{j+1}(nT^{-1})^{n-j} C_n^P = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (j\beta_j + (j+1)\beta_{j+1})(nT^{-1})^{n-j} C_n^P \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (n)_j (j\beta_j + (j+1)\beta_{j+1}) C_{n-j}^P. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) From the definitions of $\tilde{L}$ and $C_n^P(x)$ we obtain

$$\tilde{L}C_n^P(x) = e^P L e^{-P} e^P \psi(x,n) = e^P n\psi(x,n) = nC_n^P(x).$$

(iii) follows directly from Lemma 3.3.

4. Meixner type vector orthogonal polynomials

We use the notation of the previous section x, Δ, $L = x\nabla$ to define an algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ of discrete operators. It will be spanned by the operators x, L, $G = L\Delta + \beta\Delta$, where β is a constant. They satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\begin{cases} [L,x] = -x - L \\ [G,x] = L - x\Delta + \beta D \\ [G,L] = -G \\ \mathrm{ad}_G^2(x) = -2G. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

To simplify the notation we define the operator $R := [G,x]$, which will be present both in the computations and in the final formulas. We use the wave function from the previous section, namely $\psi(x,n) = (x)_n$. It has the properties:

$$\begin{cases} L\psi(x,n) = n\psi(x,n) \\ x\psi(x,n) = \psi(x,n+1) + n\psi(x,n) \\ G\psi(x,n) = (n^2+\beta n)\psi(x,n-1). \end{cases}$$

We sum up these properties in terms of the following anti-involution b.

$$\begin{cases} b(L) = n \\ b(x) = T + n \\ b(\Delta) = nT^{-1} \\ b(G) = (n^2+\beta n)T^{n-1} \\ b(R) = n(-n+\beta)T^{n-1} + \beta. \end{cases}$$

This gives our initial bispectral problem.

Let $P(X)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ without a free term. We define the automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ by

$$\sigma = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{P(G)}}.$$

In the next lemma we compute it on the generators.

Lemma 4.1. *The automorphism σ acts on the generators as*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(x) = x + RP' - P''(G)G - P'^2(G)G \\ \sigma(L) = L - P'(G)G \\ \sigma(G) = G. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We need to compute ad_P^j the first few values of j until it becomes 0. First we prove by induction that for each m

$$[G^m, x] = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} G^j R G^{m-1-j}.$$

Really we have for $m = 1$ this is one of the commutation relations in $\mathcal{B}_1$. Next assuming that the statement is true for k we have

$$\begin{aligned} [G^{k+1}, x] &= GG^k x - xG^{k+1} = G\Big[xG^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G^j R G^{k-1-j}\Big] - xG^{k+1} \\ &= G\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G^j R G^{k-j} + [Gx - xG]G^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k} G^j R G^{k-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use that

$$[G^j, R] = -2jG^j.$$

This easily follows from the fact that

$$[G, R] = -2G.$$

Hence we find

$$[G^m, x] = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (R - 2j)G^{m-1} = mRG^{m-1} - m(m-1)G^{m-1}.$$

This shows that

$$\mathrm{ad}_P(x) = RP'(G) - P''(G)G.$$

Now we easily compute $\mathrm{ad}_P^2(x)$:

$$\mathrm{ad}_P^2(x) = [P(G), RP''(G)G] = -2P'^2(G)G.$$

From the expressions for $\mathrm{ad}_P^j, j = 0, 1, 2$ we obtain the first identity.

The second identity is an easy consequence from

$$[G^m, L] = -mG$$

which can be proved by induction starting with $[G, L] = -G$. The last identities is obvious. □

Let us define the anti-involution $b_1 = b(\sigma^{-1})$. Let us define the operator $\tilde{L}$ that will be our bispectral operator. Having in mind Meixner polynomials let $c \in \mathbb{C}, \ c \neq 0, 1$.

$$\tilde{L} = (1-c)\sigma(L) = (1-c)(x\nabla - P'(G)G) \tag{4.11}$$

The next lemma computes the action of b_1 on the needed elements.

Lemma 4.2. *The anti-involution b_1 acts as*

$$\begin{cases} b_1(x) = T + n - P'(n(n+\beta)T^{-1})[n(-n+\beta)T^{-1} + \beta] \\ +P''((n(n+\beta)T^{-1})n(n+\beta)T^{-1} - P'^2(n(n+\beta)T^{-1})n(n+\beta)T^{-1} \\ b_1(L) = n + P'(-nT^{-1})nT^{-1} \\ b_1(G) = n(n+\beta)T^{-1} \\ b_1(R) = n(-n+\beta)T^{-1} + \beta. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The last two identities are direct consequences of the definitions of R and G together with the formulas for b. The more involved first identities follow from the last two. Really, we have

$$b_1(x) = b(\sigma^{-1}x) = b(x) - b(P')b(R) + b(P''(G)G - P'^2(G)G).$$

after which we put the expressions for $b(G)$ an $b(R)$. Finally

$$b_1(\tilde{L}) = b((1-c)\sigma^{-1}(\sigma L) = (1-c)b(L) = (1-c)n.$$

□

We come to the definition of the VOP, i.e. the "wave function"

$$M_n^P(x) = e^{P(G))}\psi(x, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(G)^j(x)_n}{j!}. \tag{4.12}$$

We assume that $P(G) = \alpha G^m + \ldots$ with $\alpha \neq 0$.

Notice that the operator G reduces the degrees of the polynomials by one unit. This shows that the sum (4.12) is finite (we recall that $P(X)$ has no free term) and for this reason $M_n^P(x)$ is a polynomial.

In order to simplify the notations we introduce the following function:

$$Q(G) = [P''(G) - P'^2(G)]G.$$

The basic properties of the polynomials $M_n^P(x)$ are listed in the following theorem

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then the polynomials $M_n^P(x)$ have the following properties:*
(i) They satisfy the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned} xM_n^P(x) &= M_{n+1}^P(x) + nM_n^P(x) + \\ &+ \{-P'(n(n+\beta)T^{-1})n(-n+\beta)T^{-1} + Q(n(n+\beta)T^{-1})\}M_n^P(x). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) They are eigenfunctions of the difference operator $\tilde{L}$ (4.11)

$$\tilde{L}M_n^P(x) = (1-c)nM_n^P(x).$$

(iii) The operator G acts on them as lowering operator

$$GM_n^P(x) = n(n+\beta)M_{n-1}^P(x).$$

Proof. is similar to the proof Theorem 3.4 and follows easily from Lemma 4.2 . Therefore it is omitted. □

Remark 4.4. Notice that the above polynomial system is well defined for all values of β but it is not always VOP. For example, when $\beta = -N,\ N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d = 1$ we come to Kravchuk system of orthogonal polynomials, which contains only finite number of members. Similar situation occurs when $d > 1$ This is discussed in the next section.

5. Examples

Example 5.1. For the definitions and properties of orthogonal polynomials we follow mainly [17]. Let us consider the Charlier polynomial sequence $\{C_n(x,a)\}$, defined by

$$C_n(x;a) = {}_2F_0\left[\begin{matrix}-n & -x \\ - & \end{matrix}; -\frac{1}{a}\right],\ a > 0.$$

These polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product:

$$(C_m, C_n) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x}{x!} C_m(x,a)C_n(x,a) = a^{-n}e^a n!\delta_{mn}.$$

Charlier polynomials satisfy the following difference equation.

$$-ny(x) = ay(x+1) - (x+a)y(x) + xy(x-1),\ y(x) = C_n(x;a).$$

The above equation means that Charlier polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following difference operator

(5.13) {ChO}
$$aD - (x+a)I + xD^{-1} = a\Delta + x\nabla.$$

Let us define the the normalized polynomials q_n, i.e which have a coefficient 1 at the highest degree of x:

$$q_n(x) = (-a)^n C_n(x;a).$$

They satisfy the 3-terms recursion relation

$$xq_n(x) = q_{n+1}(x) + (n+a)q_n(x) + anq_{n+1}(x),$$

We will show that Charlier polynomils are a particular case of our construction from the previous section.

Let $P(\Delta) = -a\Delta$. We find that

$$\tilde{L} = a\Delta + x\nabla.$$

Define the polynomials

$$C_n^P = e^{-a\Delta}(x)_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-a)^j \Delta^j (x)_n}{j!} = \sum \frac{(-a)^j (-n)_j (x)_{n-j}}{j!}.$$

Now using the fact

$$\frac{(-n)_j}{j!} = (-1)^n \frac{(-n)_{n-j}}{(n-j)!}$$

and changing the summation index $j \to k = n - j$ we obtain

$$C_n^P = (-a)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k (x)_k}{(-a)^k k!}.$$

We see that these are the normed Charlier polynomials denoted above by $q_n(x)$. This example, together with the construction of Appell polynomials in [16], motivates the name "Charlier-Appell polynomials" for general P.

Example 5.2. In the second example we take the algebra from section 4. Let us take $P(G) = \alpha G$, G $= (x\nabla + \beta)\Delta$. Then we have

$$\tilde{L} = (1-c)[\alpha x(\Delta + \nabla) + \alpha\beta\Delta + x\nabla].$$

If we take the constant α to be

$$\alpha = \frac{c}{1-c}$$

and β to be different from a negative integer we obtain exactly the Meixner operator

$$\tilde{L} = c(x+\beta)\Delta + x\nabla.$$

as given in [17].

In case $\beta = -N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain Kravchuk polynomials $K_0, \ldots, K_N$, which form finite set of orthogonal polynomials.

Example 5.3. Let us again use the settings from section 4. We present here the simplest new example. Let us take $P(G) = \alpha G^2/2$. Then the new polynomials

$$M_n^P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha G^2)^j (x)_n}{j!}$$

are eigenfunctions of the operator $\tilde{L}$

$$\tilde{L} M_n^P(x) = (1-c)(x\nabla - \alpha(x\nabla\Delta + \beta\Delta)^2) M_n^P(x) = -n M_n^P(x)$$

Choosing

$$\alpha = \frac{c}{2\beta(1-c)}$$

The operator $\tilde{L}$ becomes

$$\tilde{L} = x\nabla + \frac{c}{2\beta}(x\nabla\Delta)^2.$$

The recurrence relation reads

$$\begin{aligned} xM_n^P &= M_{n+1}^P + nM_n^P + 2\alpha n(n+\beta)2M_{n-1}^P \\ &+ \alpha n(n-1)(n+\beta)(n+1-\beta)2M_{n-2}^P + 4\alpha^2(-1)^3(n)_3(n-1+\beta)_3M_{n-3}^P, \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.4. Kravchuk-like polynomials. In this example we investigate the case when $\beta = -N,\ N \in \mathbb{N}$. We take $P(G) = G^2 + aG$. We have $P(G) = 2G + a$, $P(G) = 2$, $Q = (2 - (2G + a)^2)G$. The recursion relation reads

$$xM_n = M_{n+1} + nM_n - n(-n-N)M_{n-1} + \ldots - 4(n)_3(n-N)_3M_{n-3}.$$

We see that the polynomials satisfy 5-term recursion relation. However the coefficient at M_{n-3} is zero for $n = N$, thus violating the condition of P. Maroni's theorem [22]. This shows that the vector orthogonality is valid only for the polynomials $M_n, n = 0, \ldots, N$. The situation with the general polynomial $P(G)$ is similar.

References

[1] W. A. AI-Salam, *Characterization Theorems for Orthogonal Polynomials*, in: Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory and Practice edited by P. Nevai, with the assistance of M. E. H. Ismail, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications Colombus, Ohio, U.S.A. May 22 - June 3, 1989. Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht / Boston / London.

[2] A. I. Aptekarev, A. Kuijlaars, *Hermite-Padé approximations and multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 2011, Volume 66, Issue 6(402), 123190

[3] J. Arvesú, J. Coussement, W. Van Assche, *Some discrete multiple orthogonal polynomials*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 153 (2003) 1945.

[4] B. Bakalov, E. Horozov, and M. Yakimov, *General methods for constructing bispectral operators*, Phys. Lett. A 222(1-2) (1996) 59–66.

[5] Ben Cheikh, Y. and A. Zaghouani, *Some discrete d-orthogonal polynomial sets*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 156, 253-263, 2003.

[6] Y. Ben Cheikh, A. Ouni *Some generalized hypergeometric d-orthogonal polynomial sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 464478.

[7] P. Bleher, S. Delvaux, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Random matrix model with external source and a constrained vector equilibrium problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 64 (2011), 116160.

[8] S. Bochner, *Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme*, Math. Z. 29 (1929) 730–736.

[9] J. J. Duistermaat, and F. A. Grünbaum, *Differential equation in the spectral parameter*, Commun. Math. Phys. 103 (1998) 177–240.

[10] A. J. Durán, Manuel D. de la Iglesia *Constructing Bispectral Orthogonal Polynomials from the Classical Discrete Families of Charlier, Meixner and Kravchuk*, Constructive Approximation February 2015, Volume 41, Issue 1, pp 49-91

[11] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, A. Zhedanov, *d-Orthogonal polynomials and su(2)*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Volume 390, Issue 2, 15 June 2012, Pages 472-487.

[12] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine, E. Horozov, *Some functions that generalize the Krall-Laguerre polynomials*, Jour. Comp. Appl. Math., 106(2), (1999) 271–297.

[13] F. A. Grünbaum, M. Yakimov, *Discrete bispectral Darboux transformations from Jacobi operators*, Pacific J. Math. 204(2) (2002), 395–431.

[14] Walter Van Assche, Difference Equations for Multiple Charlier and Meixner Polynomials, in: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Difference Equations Augsburg, Germany 2001, New Progress in Difference Equations, Edited by Saber Elaydi , Bernd Aulbach , and Gerasimos Ladas CRC Press 2004, Pages 549-557.

[15] E.H. Hildebrandt, Systems of polynomials connected with the Charlier expansion and the Pearson differential equation, Ann. Math. Statistics, 2(1931), 379-439.
[16] E. Horozov, Automorphisms of algebras and Bochner's property for vector orthogonal polynomials, arXiv:1512.03898 [math.CA].
[17] R. Koekoek P. A. Lesky R. F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their q-Analogues, Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2010. Krall
[18] H. L. Krall, On orthogonal polynomials satisfying a certain fourth order differential equation, The Pennsylvania State College Studies, No.6, The Pennsylvania State College, State College, PA, 1940.
[19] O. E. Lancaster, Orthogonal polynomials defined by difference equations, American Journal of Mathematics, 63(1941), 185-207.
[20] D.W. Lee, Difference equations for discrete classical multiple orthogonal polynomials Journal of Approximation Theory, Volume 150, Issue 2, February 2008, Pages 132152.
[21] P. Lesky, Über Polynomsysteme, die Sturm-Liouvilleschen Differenzengleichungen genügen. Mathematische Zeitschrift 78, 1962, 439445.
[22] P. Maroni, L'orthogonalité et les récurrences de polynômes d'ordre supérieur à deux, Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse (1989) Volume: 10, Issue: 1, page 105-139.
[23] Nikiforov, A. F., Suslov, S. K., and Uvarov, V. B., Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable Springer, New York, 1991.
[24] B. Shapiro, M Tater, *Asymptotic zero distribution of polynomial solutions to degenerate exactly-solvable equations*, Segovia, 2014.
[25] J. Van Iseghem, *Vector orthogonal relations. Vector QD-algorithm.* Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics Volume 19, Issue 1, Supplement 1, July 1987, Pages 141150.
[26] L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *Automorphisms of the HeisenbergWeyl algebra and d-orthogonal polynomials*, JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS MARCH 2009.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,, Bulg. Acad. of Sci., Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Block 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail address: horozov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg